

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/844,415 04/27/2001 Gerold Tebbe 011072 1362 22876 7590 08/05/2004 EXAMINER FACTOR & LAKE, LTD COLE, ELIZABETH M 1327 W. WASHINGTON BLVD. ART UNIT SUITE 5G/H PAPER NUMBER CHICAGO, IL 60607 1771

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	·	Applicant(s)	("
Office Action Summary		09/844,415		TEBBE, GEROLD	\supset
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Elizabeth M. Co	le	1771	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how ly within the statutory m will apply and will expine e, cause the application	vever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) days s SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. & 133).	ation.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/2	<u>1/04</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) The	nis action is non-	final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,9,11-20,27 and 28</u> is/are per	nding in the appli	cation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from conside	ration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,9,11-20,27 and 28</u> is/are reject	cted.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ement.		
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🔲 🧻	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10) 🔲 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	· -	-		
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)[_]1	The proposed drawing correction filed on	- /,		ved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •	ction.		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.			
•	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rec	eived.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	_	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti				ation).
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedure.cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional applicat	on has been rece	eived.	
Attachment		io priority united t	.5 0.5.0. 33 120	anu/ULIZI.	
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	_··
I.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary		Part of Paper No. 0726	

Application/Control Number: 09/844,415

Art Unit: 1771

- 1. Claims 1-2, 4, 6, 9, 11-20, 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as originally filed does not provide support for the limitation that "the spherical particles include a resistance to environmental influences, which resistance can vary from particle to particle". The specification does provide support for hollow particles wherein the wall material of the hollow particles differ in terms of resistance to environmental influences.
- 2. The amendment filed 5/21/04 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "wherein the spherical particles include a resistance to environmental influences, which resistance can vary from particle to particle".

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

- 3. Claims 1-2, 4, 6, 9, 11-20, 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites a textile material. It is not clear how a textile material can have an alternative limitation that excludes fabric or fibrous materials.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 1771

- 5. Claims 1-2, 4, 6, 9, 11-20, 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamato et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,232,769 substantially for the reasons set forth in the previous office action. With regard to the limitation that the spherical particles include a resistant to environmental influences, which resistance can vary from particle to particle, the word "can" is defined as "used to indicate possibility". (See Merriam-Webster on-line dictionary http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=can&x=10&y=17). Therefore, the limitation is properly read as being optional, i.e., the resistance to environmental influences may possibly vary from particle to particle. Therefore, the Yamato reference meets the claims. Further, Yamato discloses at col. 4, lines 59-65, that "the microcapsules are broken or cracked little by little after adhering to textile articles, when the textile articles are worn or by an intentional friction." Since Yamato teaches that the particles break or crack over time then it is reasonable to presume that the particles differ in their resistance to environmental factors.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 5/21/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument with regard to the meaning of the term "textile material" has been considered. However, a textile is defined as cloth, especially a woven or knit cloth; a fiber, filament or yarn used in making cloth. Therefore, applicant's argument that textile material means a material that is used for clothing or textile products is not persuasive and the rejection is maintained. Applicant's arguments with regard to the rejection regarding "environmental influences" is persuasive. That rejection is withdrawn. Applicant's arguments with regard to the Yamato not teaching variable resistance has been fully considered and is addressed in the preceding paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,415

Art Unit: 1771

7. Applicant's election of Group I is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (571) 272-1478.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1771

The fax number for all official faxes is (703) 872-9306.

Elizabeth M. Cole Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

e.m.c